

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)  
MJacobs@mofo.com  
2 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)  
AGonzalez@mofo.com  
3 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)  
ETate@mofo.com  
4 RUDY Y. KIM (CA SBN 199426)  
RKim@mofo.com  
5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Telephone: 415.268.7000  
7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

8 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
kdunn@bsflp.com  
9 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
hhume@bsflp.com  
10 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.  
11 Washington DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.237.2727  
12 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

13 Attorneys for Defendants  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
14 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 WAYMO LLC,  
19 Plaintiff,  
20 v.  
21 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
22 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,  
23 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
PORTIONS OF THEIR RESPONSE  
TO WAYMO'S SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEF REGARDING HASLIM  
TESTIMONY, AND SUPPORTING  
EXHIBITS THERETO**

Trial Date: October 2, 2017

1 In accordance with Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, and General Order No. 62,  
 2 Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (“Defendants”) submit this motion for  
 3 an order to file under seal the confidential, unredacted versions of the following documents:

- 4 1. Portions of Defendants’ Response to Waymo’s Supplemental Brief Regarding  
 5 Haslim Testimony (“Response”);
- 6 2. Portions of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Michelle Yang in Support of  
 7 Defendants’ Response to Waymo’s Supplemental Brief Regarding Haslim Testimony (“Yang  
 8 Declaration”);
- 9 3. Portions of Exhibit 2 to the Yang Declaration;
- 10 4. Portions of Exhibit 3 to the Yang Declaration;
- 11 5. Entirety of Exhibit 4 to the Yang Declaration;
- 12 6. Portions of Exhibit 5 to the Yang Declaration;
- 13 7. Entirety of Exhibit 6 to the Yang Declaration.

14 Some of the redacted portions of the Response, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 5 discuss  
 15 Uber’s proprietary and highly confidential technical information for Uber’s custom LiDAR  
 16 system. These portions are highlighted in blue on the unredacted copies of the documents. The  
 17 design of Uber’s custom LiDAR system is highly confidential, proprietary information which, if  
 18 made public, would cause Uber irreparable harm in this very competitive space of autonomous  
 19 driving. (Declaration of Michelle Yang In Support of Defendants’ Administrative Motion to File  
 20 Documents Under Seal (“Yang Decl.”) ¶¶ 2, 4.) Some portions Defendants’ Response and  
 21 Exhibit 1 contain Uber’s confidential and sensitive business organization information, and if this  
 22 information were made public, I understand that Uber’s competitive standing could be  
 23 significantly harmed. (Yang Decl. ¶ 2.) These portions are also highlighted in blue.

24 Exhibit 4 contains diagrams of Uber’s custom LiDAR system with highly confidential,  
 25 proprietary technical information. Exhibit 6 is the testimony of an Uber engineer. Both these  
 26 exhibits likewise contain Uber’s proprietary and highly confidential information for Uber’s  
 27 custom LiDAR design. If made public, it would cause Uber irreparable harm. (Yang Decl. ¶¶ 3,  
 28 4.)

Exhibits 3 and 5 also contain highly confidential information about a third party vendor for Uber's LiDAR, which is also the subject of a non-disclosure agreement. Defendants are contractually bound to maintain the confidentiality of this third party's confidential information. If this information were to be released to the public, Defendants' competitors would have access to detailed information about Defendants' LiDAR design work, and Defendants' competitive standing could significantly be harmed. (Yang Decl. ¶ 5.)

Some of the redacted portions of Defendants' Response, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 5 have been designated by Waymo as either confidential or highly confidential. Waymo's designations are highlighted in green in the unredacted copies of the documents. (Yang Decl. ¶ 5.)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), Defendants will lodge with the Clerk the documents at issue, with accompanying chamber copies.

Defendants served Waymo with this Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal on May 9, 2017.

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants request that the Court enter the accompanying Proposed Order granting Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal and designate the service copies of these documents as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

Dated: May 9, 2017

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/Michael A. Jacobs

MICHAEL A. JACOBS

Attorneys for Defendants  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
and OTTOMOTTO LLC